

Integrated Funding for Community Case Management of Childhood Illness in the Global Fund New Funding Model Applications: Country Experiences

Dyness Kasungami
Child Health Adviser
Maternal and Child Survival Program/|SI

Process

- Through the Financing Task Team
- Recruited, trained and deployed consultants to do:
 - Program and financial gap analyses
 - Inclusion of iCCM (targets and budget) in concept notes
- USAID supported the application process in Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, Uganda and Zambia

Looking back



Objectives of the case studies

- In USAID supported countries
 - Identify the enabling and constraining factors in integrating iCCM into GF malaria/HSS concept notes,
 - Document the degree and type of collaboration between child health and malaria stakeholders in country; and
 - Identify areas for improvement to support implementation of iCCM programs and future resource mobilization

Research Methods

- A team of three independent consultants:
 - Conducted a desk review of relevant GF application documents;
 - Performed a field visit to conduct in-country interviews in all five countries
 - Developed five country-specific case studies and a synthesis report.

Key findings

Primary outcome

- In four countries, with the exception of Kenya, partners successfully negotiated the inclusion of diarrhea and pneumonia to their malaria and/or health systems strengthening concept notes, mobilizing a combined \$17.5 million from the Global Fund for iCCM Scale-up
- Leveraged additional co-funding for nonmalaria commodities

Secondary outcomes

- Increasing collaboration among stakeholders child health and malaria program managers
- A better understanding of diarrhea, pneumonia and malaria as leading causes of preventable child deaths that need to be addressed as a package
- A better appreciation of the costs of implementing iCCM from the financial gap analyses

Enabling factors for integration

- Technical consensus about iCCM as an effective strategy for extending care beyond health facilities
- ICCM policy endorsement and presence of coordination structures
- Having national champions
- Local evidence
- Effectively framing the iCCM strategy as good investment for malaria control

Key Challenges and Concerns

Key challenges during the application process (I)

- Coordination among program managers
 - Concept note development called for greater collaboration than currently exist among malaria, child and community health units which, in most countries, fall under different departments and funding sources.

- Integration with limited resources
 - Malaria stakeholders were reluctant to share limited financing to expand the package (i.e. iCCM)

Key challenges during the application process (2)

Planning for scale-up

- No national iCCM implementation plans with agreed scale-up targets (regions, # of districts) in some countries, in contrast, Malaria Strategic Plans with clear targets, are virtually present in every country
- Negotiating realistic scale-up targets for iCCM happened during the limited time for concept note development, thus excluding some partners

Looking Ahead: Key issues and concerns (I)

- Procurement and Supply Chain Management
 - Existing financing gap for non-malaria commodities and
 - Limited capacity to distribute to the last mile
- Coordinating implementation and governance of integrated programs
 - Insufficient capacity of existing bodies to ensure coordination and accountability for results of integrated programs across child health and malaria programs, Principal and Sub-recipients
 - Risk of partners focusing on malara due to lack of Global Fund mandated indicators for all (diarrhea and pneumonia) funded iCCM conditions

Looking Ahead: Key issues and concerns (2)

- There is varying capacity of community health workers and supervisors to implement an expanded package and resulting higher workload which is a threat to scale up.
- The need to invest in implementation research for ongoing learning and innovating to overcome implementation challenges.

Recommendations

- Invest in capacity development for staff (esp. child health program managers) to manage expanded scale of iCCM and to build trust among program stakeholders
- Funding opportunities requiring proposals should make provision for consensus building among country stakeholders
- Countries should develop iCCM scale-up plans that are costed to inform both domestic and global resource mobilization

For full reports, check out www.ccmcentral.com

Country experiences

- Overall, a lot of similarities across countries- package, implementation issues and challenges
- Leadership and coordination: government in charge, coordinating partners
- Country programs are largely in the scale-up phase
- Integration into national systems happening for
 - Monitoring systems-HMIS/DHIS II
 - Procurement and distribution of supplies

Common challenges

- Procurement and supply chain management: remaining financial gap for non-malaria commodities; distribution to the last mile
- Monitoring: issues around quality of data and timeliness of reporting
- Sustainability: retention and motivation of CHWs, harmonizing financial, nonfinancial incentives and supervision

Challenges cont'd

- Financing
 - Delayed process of receiving GF resources
 - Funding is largely partners (not capturing govt. Financial contribution)
 - Limited resources for supervision of CHWs by health facility workers
- Implementation: Time taken to train adequate numbers of CHWs constrains scale-up

Innovations/promising

- Mapping of location of volunteers and incentives provided by different partners
- Use of mobile technology to manage data and reportingissues of network coverage, cost of phones
- Micro-planning in Malawi informs planning and costing of iCCM services
- Implementation research to inform scale-up