| Information domain | Routine indicator |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Human resources | Under-five catchment population per CCM site: # of children under 5 per CCM site |
| | Geographic access to CCM: % of target population (or target communities) with access to CCM services (countries to define eligibility for CCM and definition of active CHW) |
| | CCM CHW density: Number of CHWs trained and deployed for CCM per 1,000 children under five in target areas |
| | CHW to supervisor ratio: Ratio of CHWs deployed for CCM to CCM supervisors |
| Service delivery | Case load by CHW: # cases treated by CHW by reporting period (total and disaggregated by disease) |
| | CCM treatment rate: Number of CCM conditions treated per 1,000 children under five in target areas in a given time period |
| | Percent of expected cases treated: Number of CCM conditions treated/Number of expected cases for population and time period |
| | RDT positivity rate: % of fever cases presenting to CHW who were tested with RDT and received a positive result |

| Supply chain/logistics | Medicine and diagnostic continuous stock (1): % of CCM sites with no stock out of each CCM commodity over the period (disaggregated by commodity) (recommended by SC group) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Medicine and diagnostic availability (2): % of CCM sites with all key CCM medicines and diagnostics in stock on last day of reporting period (more common because easier to collect) |
| Referrals | Referral rate: # cases referred per 100 cases seen by CHWs |
| Reporting | Reporting: % of CHWs/HFs/districts submitting reports on iCCM during time period (disaggregated by level) |
| Supervision (rename - quality improvement interaction?) - need to relook at this and how to measure it - will consult with HR group) | Completed versus expected supervision activity: proportion of expected supervision activities* completed during reporting period *-to be defined locally' |

| Data elements required for routine reporting # U5 children in CCM target areas; # CCM sites | Level(s) of health system CHW; HF; district | Data source(s) Headcount (easiest); |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| # 05 Children in Colvi target areas, # Colvi sites | CHW, HF, district | census(projection estimates); population models (grided population surface) |
| # U5 children with geographic access to CCM (# communities with CCM); #U5 children in CCM target areas (# communities in CCM target areas) | HF; district | Numerator (sum of indicator above); denominator: Headcount (easiest); census (projection estimates); population models (grided population surface) meeting eligibility criteria for CCM |
| # CHWs deployed for CCM; # U5 children in target areas | district; national | HR records/HF records; denominator same sources as above |
| # CHWs deployed for CCM; # supervisors trained and deployed for CCM supervision | district;national | HF/district records |
| # cases seen and # cases treated for each condition (for RDT areas report # RDT- tested fever; #RDT + tested fever; # treated for confirmed | CHW; HF; district | CHW registers and reports |
| malaria; # treated for presumptive malaria) # CHWs reporting | CHW; HF; district | CHW registers and reports; headcounts etc |
| | CHW; HF; district | CHW registers and reports; headcounts and expected incidence by condition |
| # RDT+ tests; # RDT tests conducted | CHW; HF; district | CHW registers and reports |

| Y/N for whether had stock-out for each commodity | CHW; HF; district | CHW stock-cards/reports |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Y/N for whether have stock on hand for each commodity at time of reporting | CHW; HF; district | CHW report |
| # of referrals made by type (danger signs, stock- out) | HF; district | CHW registers and reports |
| # of reports received; # of reports expected for each level | HF; district | HF/district records |
| # supervision activities completed; # of supervision activities expected | HF; district | HF/district records |

Indicator selection criteria

| indicator selection criteria | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Frequency | Important for decision-making/actionable | Feasible in routine systems at scale | Experience /use in national HMIS systems | Technical merit/face validity |
| Annual | Yes (useful for planning; forms basis for expected cases/supply chain quantification) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Annual | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Annual | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Annual | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Monthly/quarterly | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Monthly/quarterly | Useful on monthly/quarterly basis (but less useful for annual planning etc) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Annually or more frequently if adjusted for seasonality | Yes - especially for program planning | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Monthly/ quarterly | Yes | Yes | Yes? | Yes |

| Monthly/ quarterly | Yes | Harder to collect; requires that CHWs have stock cards | Limited | Yes |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Monthly/ quarterly | Yes | More common; just have to count stock at end of month | Yes | Yes |
| Monthly/ quarterly | Not very actionable without additional information - want | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Monthly/ quarterly | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Monthly/ quarterly | Yes | Yes | Yes (this is what many programs are able to report on routinely in contrast to supervision coverage) | Yes |

| Contributes independently to set of indicators | COMMENTS |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yes | Highlight difference between CHW and CCM site (e.g. when VHT has multiple members but only one providing CCM) - should be for CCM site Needed for denominator of other indicators (esp treatment) Accurate and feasible except in situations where populations are very mobile; difficult political situations, etc; quality issues with data sources |
| Yes | Knowing both total population and # sites is essential for planning purposes; countries can decide which one is most actionable Requires defining and capturing 'active' CHWs. Numerator could also be derived from a census projection gridded population surface but would need to have the georeferenced boundaries of the catchment area of each CHW/CCM site or you need the geographic location of the CHWs/CCM sites (e.g. from a georeferenced census of CHWs or CCM sites) and you can use a 5km buffer around the locations (or match the census EAs to the catchment area boundaries). This could be done through a georeferenced census of CHWs/CCM sites repeated every 3 years (to establish a National Master CHW List) since trainings do not take place that often or it new CHWs/CCM sites could be georeferneced and added on a rolling basis. |
| Yes | Ideally would be updated more than once per year; again should factor in whether CHW is active or not. Could also be derived from a georeferenced census of CHWs/CCM sites as per above |
| Yes | Could also be derived from a georeferenced census of CHWs/CCM sites as per above |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | data elements for the above indicators are the same so we can calculate variations; Note - expected number of cases would be generated using catchment population and incidence figures. Incidence (which is typically annual) would need to be adjusted for quarterly or monthly reporting to reflect seasonal fluctuations. |
| Yes | |

| Just need 1 or 2 | This is the preferred routine indicator - but because not often feasible - 4.2 is suitable alternative |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Just need 1 or 2 | |
| Yes | Need to provide guidance on how to interprete and what to do with the information; need benchmarks |
| Yes | |
| Yes | Supervision approaches are changing in response to relatively limited success with the standard monthly onsite supervision of individual CHWs, which hasn't been feasible in many settings; group supervision, monthly meetings, peer supervision, phone calls/sms messages, refresher training sessions, etc are various options being tried and tested; needs further discussion |