Institutionalizing iCCM Subgroup Meeting
August 22, 2018

Participants: Alfonso Rosales [co-chair] (WVI), Salim Sadruddin [co-chair] (WHO), Sarah Andersson (JSI), Jane Briggs (MSH), Kim Connolly (USAID), Nicholas Furtado, Emmanuel d'Harcourt (consultant), Elizabeth Hourani (MCSP/JSI), Ochi Ibe (MCSP/ICF), Daniel Lopez de Romana (NI), Christopher Mfornyam (USAID), Debra Prosnitz (ICF), Rashed Shah (Save the Children), Eric Swedberg (Save the Children), Mary Thompson (Canadian Red Cross)

Recording: https://mcsprogram.adobeconnect.com/pf97u37c6at6/

Meeting Notes:
- Subgroup members provided the last round of comments on the TOR
    - The role of governments – should they be specifically referred to in the objectives?
    - Update expected result #1 to "identified" instead of "developed."
    - The TOR should be a living document that the group updates as time and activities proceed.
    - Task Force Steering Committee – one of the two SC meetings will be an expanded meeting which will involve the co-chairs of the subgroups. The next meeting will be in December to discuss TORs.
        - Members felt that the TOR could be submitted to the SC at this time.
- Proposed activities
    - Institutionalization of iCCM indicators
        - The group can take the iCCM benchmark framework indicators as the starting point.
            - Salim and Eric will lead this activity and coordinate with the M&E subgroup.
    - Sharing of best practices on institutionalizing iCCM
        - Save the Children – Completing three embedded retrospective case studies examining health system effect of past Save the Children iCCM programs in Mozambique, Ethiopia, and Malawi.
        - JSI – cStock in Malawi using mHealth tool which is now run by the government.
        - Emmanuel – Rwanda is an example of a program that was started by NGOs and is now owned by the government. Any partner working in Rwanda can share transformation story with the group.
    - Recommendations and evaluation report from RAcE
        - Can be used as a tool to identify the operational challenges in institutionalizing iCCM. Then from there the subgroup members could identify potential solutions.
    - Identify priority research questions related to institutionalization
        - The co-chairs encouraged members to contribute to the implementation science subgroup research mapping exercise. The link David Hamer sent out to the entire task force can be found here.
        - Then gaps can be determined and additional research questions identified.
- Action Items
    - Next meeting (Sept/Oct) to include:
        - cStock in Malawi presentation
        - Indicators discussion

- o Following meeting (November)
    - RAcE evaluation results presentation
    - Global Fund iCCM evaluation results presentation
    - Discussion of recommendations
- o Subgroup members to share any literature on institutionalization of intervention/strategy, including indicators.
- o Salim and Alfonso to reach out to M&E co-chairs (Kate Gilroy and Debra Jackson).
- o Elizabeth to discuss with Salim on how to move forward with the iCCM survey.
- o Subgroup members should consider leading expected result and share their choice before the next meeting.