## Pneumonia, Malaria and Diarrhoea in Africa: Trends and Treatment Effectiveness

### Theresa Diaz, MD MPH Chief Knowledge Management and Implementation Research Unit, Health Section, UNICEF New York



Integrated Community Case Management (iCCM): Evidence Review Symposium 3–5 March 2014, Accra, Ghana

 $\mathbf{\hat{}}$ 

## **Overview**

• Mortality trends

Effectiveness of treatments and recommendations

Trends in health seeking and treatment coverage

# Sub-Saharan Africa has substantially accelerated its reduction

Annual rates of reduction (ARR) in the under-five mortality rate, %, by region, since 1990



## Children in Sub-Saharan Africa and Southern Asia face a higher risk of dying before their fifth birthday



Note: This map is stylized and not to scale. It does not reflect a position by UN IGME agencies on the legal status of any country or territory or the delimitation of any frontiers.

## **Exciting new findings on disparities**

- Many regions have reduced disparities in under-five mortality between the poorest and the richest <u>except</u> <u>Sub-Saharan Africa</u> and South Asia
- Under-five mortality rate has declined among even the poorest in all regions



Source: UNICEF analysis based on Pedersen, J., et al., Levels and Trends in Inequity and Child Mortality: Evidence from DHS and MICS surveys', working paper, unpublished, 2013.'

## Still, progress is insufficient to achieve MDG4 target by 2015 and substantial acceleration is required

Achievement of MDG 4 by year, globally and by region, if current trends continue in all countries



Source: UNICEF analysis based on IGME 2013.

#### Infectious diseases such as pneumonia, diarrhoea and malaria are the leading killers of children under age 5; roughly 44% of deaths in children under 5 occur during the neonatal period



Global distribution of deaths among children under age 5, by cause, 2012

Estimates are rounded, and therefore may not sum to 100%.

Source: UNICEF analysis based on IGME 2013, WHO and CHERG 2013.

# Analyzing Mortality causes in poor compared to rich children (Nigeria 2011)



## Pneumonia



#### Which antibiotic is better for pneumonia?

Kabra SK. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;3:CD004874

Comparisons	OR		
Ambulatory			
Amoxicillin better than cotrimoxazole	1.33 (1.05-1.67)		
Azithromycin equal erythromycin	1.17 (0.70-1.95)		
Azithromycin equal amoxicillin-clav	1.02 (0.54-1.95)		
Amoxi-clav equal cefpodoxime	0.69 (0.18-2.60)		
Hospital			
Inj Procaine Penicillin better than cotrimoxazole	2.64 (1.57-4.45)		
Inj Penicillin + gentamicin better than chloramphenicol	1.61 (1.02-2.55)		
Inject penicillin equivalent to oral amoxicillin	1.03 (0.81-1.31)		

### Why oral Amoxicillin for chest in-drawing Pneumonia?

Amoxicillin Penicillin Pneumonia International Study (APPIS) conducted a multicentre trial in 8 countries:



Clinical outcome for oral amoxicillin and injectable penicillin was comparable

#### Penicillin + Gentamicin Vs Chloramphenicol for Very Severe Pneumonia

- Open RCT in Papua New Guinea:
- Chloramphenicol (n= 559) Vs Penicillin + Gentamicin daily (n= 557)
  - Outcome similar in both regimens
- Multicentre RCT in 8 sites in 7 countries (n= 958) with Ampicillin:
  - Higher treatment failure and more deaths in Chloramphenicol group



#### Gentamicin plus Ampicillin is better choice for very severe pneumonia

## **Pneumonia Treatment**



#### WHO Regimen Recommendations

- Oral amoxicillin twice daily for 5 days for treatment of fast breathing and chest in drawing pneumonia in children 2-59 months\*
- Injectable ampicillin plus gentamicin for very severe pneumonia in children 2-59 months of age

\*In high HIV settings, chest in-drawing pneumonia is managed as severe pneumonia

Too few children with pneumonia are receiving appropriate care, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa

Proportion of children under five with suspected pneumonia taken to an appropriate health-care provider, 2007–2012



## Gaps in appropriate careseeking for suspected childhood pneumonia exist across household wealth quintiles

Share of children under age 5 with suspected pneumonia taken to an appropriate healthcare provider or facility, by household wealth quintile and region, 2006–2011 (per cent)



## Diarrhoea



#### Meta-analysis of studies comparing reduced osmolarity and standard WHO ORS

#### **Stool output**

Study	Int No	ervention Mean (SD)	No	Control Mean (SD)	Standardised mean difference (95% Cl fixed)	Weight %	Standardised mean difference (95% CI fixed)
Bangladesh 1995a <sup>11</sup> Bangladesh 1995b <sup>12</sup> Bangladesh 1996a <sup>13</sup> CHOICE 2001 <sup>14</sup> Colombia 2000 <sup>15</sup> Egypt 1994 <sup>16</sup> Egypt 1996a <sup>17</sup> Egypt 1996b <sup>18</sup> India 1984a <sup>19</sup> Panama 1982 <sup>23</sup> USA 1982 <sup>23</sup> WHO 1995 <sup>24</sup>	19 30 18 341 20 45 94 22 33 20 221	4.84 (0.65) 4.50 (0.61) 4.26 (0.52) 4.56 (0.59) 1.42 (0.78) 5.06 (0.31) 5.42 (0.71) 2.40 (1.39) 4.20 (0.65) 1.08 (0.87) 1.12 (0.79) 4.17 (0.82)	19 30 28 334 69 21 44 96 22 30 20 218	5.20 (0.36) 4.63 (0.37) 4.57 (0.62) 4.61 (0.65) 1.60 (0.70) 5.47 (0.42) 5.30 (0.74) 2.71 (1.28) 4.30 (0.60) 1.23 (0.68) 1.35 (0.60) 4.45 (0.83)		1.9 3.2 2.3 36.6 7.5 1.9 4.8 10.2 2.4 3.4 2.1 23.5	0.671 (-1.326 to -0.015) -0.254 (-0.763 to 0.254) -0.522 (-1.125 to 0.080) -0.081 (-0.231 to 0.070) -0.241 (-0.574 to 0.091) 1.085 (-1.745 to -0.425) 0.164 (-0.252 to 0.580) -0.231 (-0.517 to 0.054) -0.157 (-0.749 to 0.435) -0.189 (-0.684 to 0.307) -0.321 (-0.946 to 0.303) -0.339 (-0.527 to -0.150)
Total (95% CI) χ <sup>2</sup> =17.64, (df=11), <i>z</i>	934 ⊨4.59		931	-	• 2 0_	100.0 - 2	0.214 (-0.305 to -0.123)
Hahn et al. BMJ, 2001				F: trea	avours Favo atment cont	urs Tol	

## Meta-analysis of studies comparing reduced osmolarity and standard WHO ORS

#### **Unscheduled intravenous infusion in children**

Study	Intervention n/N	Control n/N	Odds ratio (95% Cl fixed)	Weight %	Odds ratio (95% CI fixed)
Bangladesh 1995a <sup>11</sup>	4/19	5/19		3.0	0.75 (0.17 to 3.36)
Bangladesh 1996a <sup>13</sup> *	0/18	0/18		0.0	Not estimable
CHOICE 200114	34/341	50/334	-#	34.5	0.63 (0.40 to 1.00)
Colombia 2000 <sup>15</sup>	7/71	16/69		11.1	0.36 (0.14 to 0.95)
Egypt 1996a <sup>17</sup>	6/45	5/44	<b></b>	3.3	1.20 (0.34 to 4.26)
Egypt 1996b <sup>18</sup>	1/94	8/96	<b>_</b>	5.9	0.12 (0.01 to 0.97)
India 1984a <sup>19</sup> *	0/22	0/22		0.0	Not estimable
India 2000b <sup>21</sup>	11/88	12/82	<b>_</b> _	8.2	0.83 (0.35 to 2.01)
Mexico 1990a <sup>22</sup>	2/82	7/84	<b>-</b>	5.1	0.28 (0.06 to 1.37)
Panama 1982 <sup>23</sup> *	0/33	0/30		0.0	Not estimable
USA 1982 <sup>23</sup>	0/15	1/20	<b>_</b>	1.0	0.42 (0.02 to 11.03)
WHO 1995 <sup>24</sup>	33/221	43/218		27.9	0.71 (0.43 to 1.18)
Total (95% CI)	98/1049	147/1036	•	100.0	0.61 (0.47 to 0.81)
χ <sup>*</sup> =6.52, (df=8), z=3.5	3	_			
		0.	01 0.1 1 10 <sup>-</sup>	100	
		Favo	urstreatment Favourscor	ntrol	

\* No catients required intravenous infusion.

#### Meta-analysis of studies comparing reduced osmolarity and standard WHO ORS Vomiting



Hahn et al. BMJ, 2001

#### Effect of Zinc Treatment on Duration of Acute Diarrhoea/Time to Recovery



Therapeut	ic Effects of Zinc or	n Diarrhoea		
Severity				
Country	Diarrhoea <u>Outcome</u>	Percent <u>Reduction</u>		
India	Frequency	18		
India	Frequency	39		
Bangladesh	Output	28		
India	Output	38		
Brazil	Frequency	59		

## **Potential impact of ORS and Zinc treatments**

- Low Osmolarity ORS<sup>1</sup>
  - 39% fewer unscheduled IV fluids than ORS
  - 29% less episodes of vomiting than ORS
  - Decreased diarrheal output
- Zinc<sup>2</sup>
  - 25-29% reduction in duration of diarrhoea
  - 40% reduction in treatment failure or death in persistent diarrhoea
    - Prevents reoccurrence of diarrhoea for 3 to 4 months.





#### **Recommendations for management of diarrhoea: 2004**



unicef

**ACUTE DIARRHOEA** 

- Liberal use of low-osmolarity ORS to correct and prevent dehydration

- Zinc for 10-14 days to shorten duration and severity of diarrhoea

- Continued feeding and additional fluids

# Only a third of children with diarrhoea in developing countries receive ORS and this trend remains stagnant



# Lowest ORS coverage in countries with the highest levels diarrhoea deaths among children



#### Source: UNICEF analysis based on IGME 2013, WHO and CHERG 2013, and UNICEF global databases 2013.

## Malaria



## Why combination therapy?

The potential value of drug combinations, notably those including an artemisinin derivative (ACT)

- to improve efficacy,
- delay development of drug-resistance and prolong the useful therapeutic life of antimalarial drugs

WHO Informal Consultation on "Use of Antimalarial Drugs"

(November 2000, Geneva):

# Why Artemisinin-based combinations?

#### Artemisinins

- Rapid and sustained reduction of the parasite biomass
- Rapid resolution of clinical symptoms
- Reduction of gametocyte carriage
- Duration of treatment = 2-3 days in combination (7 days in monotherapy)
- Broad stage specificity
- No reported resistance thus far

## **Treatment of fever/malaria**

- Artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACT) are more effective to treat falciparum malaria in most areas
- Where ACT is introduced, use laboratory-based diagnosis with microscopy or rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs)
- Treat malaria according to national guidelines such as for vivax malaria

# Thirty-five countries are responsible for 98% of the total malaria deaths worldwide...

To achieve the 2015 targets, achieving malaria control goals in the following countries is essential:

- 30 countries in Africa: Nigeria, Democratic Republic of Congo, Uganda, Ethiopia, Tanzania, Sudan, Niger, Kenya, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali, Cameroon, Angola, Cote d'Ivoire, Mozambique, Chad, Guinea, Zambia, Malawi, Benin, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Burundi, Togo, Liberia, Rwanda, Congo (Brazzaville), Central African Republic, Somalia, and Guinea Bissau
- **5 countries in Asia-Pacific: India,** Myanmar, Bangladesh, Indonesia and Papua New Guinea

#### Despite improving treatment rates, many African children are still given less effective medicines

Percentage of febrile children under five receiving any antimalarial \* and percentage of febrile children under five receiving artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), African countries 2009–2012



\* Note that this indicator should be interpreted with caution because it refers to antimalarial treatment among all febrile children, rather than among confirmed malaria cases.

Source: UNICEF global databases 2013, based on DHS, MICS and MIS.

#### Statistics & Monitoring Section/DPS



## Malaria diagnostic testing

Percentage of children under 5 with fever receiving a finger or heel stick for malaria testing, African countries with available data 2009–2012



In most countries, the percentage of febrile children under 5 receiving malaria testing is less than **30 percent** - rural areas are lagging behind in most countries.

Source: UNICEF global databases 2013, based on DHS, MICS and MIS

## Summary

- Mortality rates in children are highest in sub-Saharan Africa
- Top causes of death are pneumonia, malaria and diarrhoea
- There are disparities by wealth quintile in mortality rates and causes of death
- There are effective treatments for pneumonia, malaria and diarrhea and diagnostics for malaria
- However, children, especially those in the poorest quintile in sub-Saharan Africa, are often not accessing or receiving these treatments or diagnostics

**HOW CAN WE BEST ACCELERATE THE INCREASE** IN THE PROPORTION OF **CHILDREN IN AFRICA WITH PNEUMONIA, DIARRHOEA, AND MALARIA THAT RECEIVE APPROPRIATE TREATMENT?**