High Burden High Impact (HBHI) – country engagement

Addis Ababa, 25 July 2019








HBHI country engagement process

The need to change the way we, as malaria community, **shape and communicate on the burden and impact of malaria**

- Malaria not in the media headlines or national response. e.g. Cholera vs malaria
- Story making on the burden: E.g. in Wakiso district, Uganda: 109 deaths due to malaria vs 2 deaths in 2018. The District Health Officer knows those differences but thinks malaria is not a priority compared to HIV/AIDs
- Economic and social impact: recent studies in Ghana show ~\$2 million cost per district (out of pocket, public cost, etc)



Country level and global partners support countries for a smooth HBHI approach




Aligned technical and financial support, Communication, coordination and transparency






Detailed working modalities among partners on HBHI approach (3/3)



- Create platform for effective collaboration
- Communicate with global partners and their networks
- Provide regular updates to respective agencies and RBM partnership
- Ensure sharing of best practices across countries and with partners




Detailed working modalities among partners on HBHI approach (1/3)



- Leadership role in establishing commitment and refocusing activities to accelerate progress on GTS milestones
- Organize and facilitate meeting
- Ensure execution of actions post-meeting as part of NSP, prioritization, budgeting and implementation of the national malaria response



Detailed working modalities among partners on HBHI approach (2/3)



- Align technical assistance and resources
- Provide information on current activities and support as required
- Actively participate in working sessions
- Implement agreed activities, under government stewardship
- Support countries in pre-work, meeting and follow-up actions




Country engagement on HBHI happens through 3 major phases

	2-4 weeks	1 week	Ongoing
	Meeting pre-work	Meeting	Effective action
	Country-led self-assessment and identification of gaps	Elaboration of tangible actions to fill gaps	Implemer and follow-up
Guiding questions	 Who are the malaria partners and stakeholders? What is currently being done to end malaria? What works well? What gaps and challenges exist? 	 What has been successful to date? What can be done to address gaps affecting achievement of country. Who will take which action when? What are the are 	 actions being mented? If not, what action is ceded to facilitate? Where is further support from stakeholders and partners needed? Is there regular follow-up at country and global level?
Products	 Stakeholder mapping Preparatory self-assessment for each of the 4 response elements (guided by log frame) Meeting organizational materinary invites, agenda) 	ne for 4 response ding roles, responsibilities hes for tangible activities based h-assessment and analysis of herventions and bottlenecks	 Inputs to NSP and operational plan revision based on next steps under all 4 response elements Funding, technical support and capacity building aligned with priority strategic planning Analysis of impact, bottlenecks
Support material	HBHI briefir Templates 1.	Meeting facilitation packageTemplates for next steps logframe	 Best-practice library for selected activities
Additional WHO/RBM partnership support as required	 Resources and contical support for self-assessment exercise Meeting organization support 	 Meeting facilitation support 	Meeting documentation supportOngoing engagement in follow-up and coordination






Country-led HBHI work and meeting are integrated and feed into existing country malaria milestones and activities




HBHI activities from launch






Approximate timeline for country-led HBHI work





Suggested workflow for country-led HBHI work; partnership support available throughout for preparation, facilitation and next steps (1/2)










Suggested workflow for country-led HBHI work; partnership support available throughout for preparation, facilitation and next steps (2/2)

WHO/RBM partnership support as required





Guiding principles and steps for initiating partnership support on HBHI country engagements

Principles of engagement



- 1 The process is country-initiated and country-led with strong country stewardship and broad-based stake-holder participation
- 2 WHO/RBM partnership support, if required, can be requested by NMCP
- 3 All high burden countries are eligible

Initiating WHO/RBM partnership support process















Countries receive

HBHI briefing and generic pre-work briefing call on materials to support self-assessment and meeting organi-sation partnership offer briefing call on materials; country made aware of opportunities for

WHO/RBM
partnership offer
briefing call on
materials; country is
made aware of
opportunities for
receiving further
RBM partnership
support

Country convenes a call with partners
(internal stake-holders and external partners)

Partners will work to respond to any country requests for technical support, meetings, country dialogues, mapping, etc.

Several types of support for country-led HBHI work are possible:

- Technical support for country self-assessment, and partner mapping
- Meeting organization support, e.g., outreach to local and global partners
- Meeting facilitation support, e.g., preparation of materials, identification of meeting venue
- Meeting documentation support, e.g., meeting report, support with putting together action plans





Contents

HBHI background and objectives

HBHI country engagement process

Modules to support HBHI country engagement



HBHI country meetings have 3 core objectives, which are supported by a number of success factors

Planned outcomes



Success factors



Focus on identification of tangible next steps through well-guided working sessions



Detailed situation analysis and identification of relevant gaps

Foster collaboration and commitment among relevant country partners at global, national and sub-national level



Identification and involvement of relevant external and internal **stakeholders early on**

Lead to **agreements on next steps** to be implemented with broad partner support (e.g., increased transparency through data sharing)



Ensure broad participation including sub-national stakeholders





Optional agenda suggestion: country meetings typically last 3-4 days and focus on identifying tangible next steps together with partners

Pre-meeting Me	ILLUSTRATIVE - NOT EXHAUSTIVE	
	Agenda item	Lead
O Day 1	 Welcome and introductions 	NMCP/MoH
	Introduction to HBHI	WHO/RBM
	 Update from Ministry of Health on country malaria status and briefing on results of pre-meeting situation analysis 	NMCP/MoH
	 Introduction to working sessions and methodology 	NMCP/MoH
O Day 2	Recap Day 1	NMCP/MoH
	 Working sessions (group work to elaborate actions on response element I, II, III and IV) 	• WHO/RBM/NMCP
	Debrief in plenary	WHO/RBM/NMCP
	 Advocacy meeting with political partners (TBD, small group) 	NMCP/MoH
O Day 3	Recap Day 2	NMCP/MoH
	• Field visit (TBD)	NMCP/MoH
	 Working sessions (group work to elaborate actions on response element I, II, III and IV) 	• WHO/RBM/NMCP
	Debrief in plenary	WHO/RBM/NMCP
O Day 4	 Recap country meeting and results 	NMCP/MoH
	Next steps	WHO/RBM/NMCP



Example participant list: ideally a broad group of stakeholders and partners at national, subnational, regional and global level featured

Pre-meeting

Meeting

Follow-up

ILLUSTRATIVE - NOT EXHAUSTIVE

Example list of participating entities

Internal Stakeholders



National & subnational level

- NMCP/MoHCDGEC/Ministry of Health and Social Welfare
- ZAMEP
- MoF/Ministry of Education/ Ministry of Agriculture
- National legislative branch (e.g., MPs)
- Surveillance/Statistical Office
- President's Office Regional Administration and Local Government
- Selected regional and district government
- Selected regional and district health officers
- Academic institutions and experts (e.g. National Institute for Medical Research)
- Professional associations
- Civil society
- Private sector

Partners



- WHO (CO)
- PMI/USAID
- PSI
- DFID
- BMGF
- Global Fund
- UNICEF
- UNDP
- CHAI
- Malaria Consortium
- World Bank

*

Partners

Regional & global level

- WHO (AFRO, HQ)
- RBM partnership
- ALMA
- PMI/USAID
- Dept. of Defense Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
- BMGF
- Global Fund
- African Development Bank
- Japan International Cooperation Agency
- Danish International Development Agency (Danida)
- Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation
- Chinese Development Agency/Government of China

To be adapted and completed based on pre-meeting stakeholder mapping





Material provided can help guide situation analysis pre-meeting and support elaboration of next steps and facilitation during the meeting

Pre-meeting

Key questions the material aims to

help answer

Meeting

Follow-up

Pre-meeting work material

Stakeholder mapping (included in self-assessment template)




-) What actors are involved in malaria?
- 2) What **roles** do they fulfil?
- 3) What is the coordination 3) mechanism with these actors?
- 4) To what response elements can or do these actors specifically contribute?

Self-assessment template covering each response element



-) What is currently being done? (Current activities)
- What works well?
- What needs improvement? (Actions to be taken)
- 4) What is missing and needs to be put in place?
 (Actions to be taken)

HBHI introductory presentation



- What is the rationale for 1)
- 2) What are the objectives of HBHI?
- What is new about HBHI?
- 4) **How does HBHI work** at local, regional and global level?¹

Meeting facilitation material

Introduction to working sessions on each response element



Next steps log frame template for each response element



- What are the key areas and example activities under each response element?
- What are the main challenges and bottlenecks for the country under the response element?
- What are the key questions to be answered during the working session?
- What can be done to address gaps affecting achievement of country goals under the response element (tangible activities)?
- Who will lead these next steps? Who will support these next steps?
- From when to when will the next steps be undertaken?

The material will be shared in a separate e-mail and can be adapted to the specific country context







Assigning a focal point for each response element at the NMCP/MoH can support effective meeting pre-work and meeting working sessions

Pre-meeting Meeting Follow-up

Assigning a **focal point for each response element** at the NMCP/MoH can be helpful


- They can serve as central contact for gathering pre-meeting information
- They can improve facilitation of the working sessions during the meeting to ensure focused discussions on next steps based on thorough understanding of current progress and potential bottlenecks
- They can lead follow-up on next steps







Together the response elements, feed into the overarching implementation process to drive outcomes and impact...



Provide the framework for the **NSP** revision

analysis م

anular data

bott1

and cost estimation

- Domestic resources
- Others

 Robust monitoring and evaluation



