Workstream Discussion

- **Workstream 1: Development of indicators to assess institutionalization of iCCM**
  - Valentina Buj: Support this workstream
  - Anne Detjen: Ensure alignment with indicator/tracking work to ongoing community health work
  - Nefra Faltas: Would be helpful to begin with what kind of framework the indicators would sit within. Also learning from what ENAP has done.
    - Troy Jacobs: Very important workstream and brings back discussions that many of us have had several years ago when we were talking about quality of care. The direction that QoC indicators went was facility-level, which child health stakeholders understood but were disappointed by. Unfortunately we do not have that framework Nefra is talking about. We need to re-address this issue.
      - Nefra Faltas: Suggested to submit a concurrent session proposal to the January CORE Group meeting. The topic could focus on follow-up from recommendations to the July 2019 Addis meeting and brainstorming what the key elements of this framework could look like? And then take that back to the iCCM Subgroup/workstream.
  - **POLL: Yes (11); No (0)**

- **Workstream 2: Country engagement**
  - Nefra Faltas: iCCM Task Team has prioritized a number of countries for engagement. The Task Force Steering Committee has also identified a priority list of countries to engage, perhaps more broadly than iCCM. We should map TA offers to countries for coordination.
    - Dyness Kasungami: Members want to see movement around country engagement. The SC has been considering country engagement as well and developing a learning agenda with a focus on 1-2 countries. There is a bit of overlap and we intend to bring these pieces of support together/link this work.
    - Anne Detjen: Community mapping has been delayed due to COVID-19 pandemic response. Will provide an update as soon as possible.
      - Valentina: WCARO did produce a number of case studies around GF and community health. Currently going through a review. WSARO is also finalizing some case studies as well.
  - **POLL: Yes (11); NO (0)**
Workstream 3:
   - POLL: Yes (13); NO (0)
Meeting frequency
   - POLL: monthly (4); bi-monthly (3); quarterly (4); ad hoc (0)
Next steps:
   - Co-chairs to update terms of reference for 2021
   - Potential development of workplan
   - Looking for a third co-chair
   - Formation of a working group to develop iCCM indicators
   - Regularity of meetings: bi-monthly (compromise between monthly and quarterly)